

GLENN HEGAR TEXAS COMPTROLLER OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

P.O. Box 13528 • Austin, TX 78711-3528

February 28, 2017

Kevin Allen Superintendent Iraan-Sheffield Independent School District P.O. Box 486 Iraan, Texas 78744-0486

Re:

Certificate for Limitation on Appraised Value of Property for School District Maintenance and Operations Taxes by and between Iraan-Sheffield Independent School District and Midway Solar, LLC, Application 1170

Dear Superintendent Allen:

On February 15, 2017, the Comptroller issued written notice that Midway Solar, LLC (applicant) submitted a completed application (Application 1170) for a limitation on appraised value under the provisions of Tax Code Chapter 313¹. This application was originally submitted on November 7, 2016, to the Iraan-Sheffield Independent School District (school district) by the applicant.

This presents the results of the Comptroller's review of the application and determinations required:

- 1) under Section 313.025(h) to determine if the property meets the requirements of Section 313.024 for eligibility for a limitation on appraised value under Chapter 313, Subchapter C; and
- 2) under Section 313.025(d), to issue a certificate for a limitation on appraised value of the property and provide the certificate to the governing body of the school district or provide the governing body a written explanation of the Comptroller's decision not to issue a certificate, using the criteria set out in Section 313.026.

Determination required by 313.025(h)

| Sec. 313.024(a) | Applicant is subject to tax imposed by Chapter 171. |
|-------------------|--|
| Sec. 313.024(b) | Applicant is proposing to use the property for an eligible project. |
| Sec. 313.024(d) | Applicant has requested a waiver to create the required number of new |
| | qualifying jobs and pay all jobs created that are not qualifying jobs a wage |
| | that exceeds the county average weekly wage for all jobs in the county |
| | where the jobs are located. |
| Sec. 313.024(d-2) | Not applicable to Application 1170. |

Based on the information provided by the applicant, the Comptroller has determined that the property meets the requirements of Section 313.024 for eligibility for a limitation on appraised value under Chapter 313, Subchapter C.

¹ All statutory references are to the Texas Tax Code, unless otherwise noted.

Certificate decision required by 313.025(d)

Determination required by 313.026(c)(1)

The Comptroller has determined that the project proposed by the applicant is reasonably likely to generate tax revenue in an amount sufficient to offset the school district's maintenance and operations *ad valorem tax* revenue lost as a result of the agreement before the 25th anniversary of the beginning of the limitation period, see Attachment B.

Determination required by 313.026(c)(2)

The Comptroller has determined that the limitation on appraised value is a determining factor in the applicant's decision to invest capital and construct the project in this state, see Attachment C.

Based on these determinations, the Comptroller issues a certificate for a limitation on appraised value. This certificate is contingent on the school district's receipt and acceptance of the Texas Education Agency's determination per 313.025(b-1).

The Comptroller's review of the application assumes the accuracy and completeness of the statements in the application. If the application is approved by the school district, the applicant shall perform according to the provisions of the Texas Economic Development Act Agreement (Form 50-826) executed with the school district. The school district shall comply with and enforce the stipulations, provisions, terms, and conditions of the agreement, applicable Texas Administrative Code and Chapter 313, per TAC 9.1054(i)(3).

This certificate is no longer valid if the application is modified, the information presented in the application changes, or the limitation agreement does not conform to the application. Additionally, this certificate is contingent on the school district approving and executing the agreement by December 31, 2017.

Note that any building or improvement existing as of the application review start date of February 15, 2017, or any tangible personal property placed in service prior to that date may not become "Qualified Property" as defined by 313.021(2) and the Texas Administrative Code.

Should you have any questions, please contact Will Counihan, Director, Data Analysis & Transparency, by email at will.counihan@cpa.texas.gov or by phone toll-free at 1-800-531-5441, ext. 6-0758, or at 512-936-0758.

Sincerely

Mike Reissig / Deputy Comproller

Enclosure

cc: Will Counihan

Attachment A - Economic Impact Analysis

The following tables summarize the Comptroller's economic impact analysis of Midway Solar, LLC (project) applying to Iraan-Sheffield Independent School District (district), as required by Tax Code, 313.026 and Texas Administrative Code 9.1055(d)(2).

Table 1 is a summary of investment, employment and tax impact of Midway Solar, LLC.

| Applicant | Midway Solar, LLC |
|--|---|
| Tax Code, 313.024 Eligibility Category | Renewable Energy Electric Generation |
| Tax code, 313.024 Engionity Category | deneration |
| School District | Iraan- Sheffield ISD |
| 2015-2016 Average Daily Attendance | 467 |
| County | Pecos |
| Proposed Total Investment in District | \$275,917,000 |
| Proposed Qualified Investment | \$275,917,000 |
| Limitation Amount | \$30,000,000 |
| Qualifying Time Period (Full Years) | 2018-2019 |
| Number of new qualifying jobs committed to by applicant | 2* |
| Number of new non-qualifying jobs estimated by applicant | 0 |
| Average weekly wage of qualifying jobs committed to by applicant | \$1,115 |
| Minimum weekly wage required for each qualifying job by Tax Code, 313.021(5)(B) | \$1,115 |
| Minimum annual wage committed to by applicant for qualified jobs | \$58,000 |
| Minimum weekly wage required for non-qualifying jobs | \$828 |
| Minimum annual wage required for non-qualifying jobs | \$43,044 |
| Investment per Qualifying Job | \$137,958,500 |
| Estimated M&O levy without any limit (15 years) | \$18,584,171 |
| Estimated M&O levy with Limitation (15 years) | \$6,104,720 |
| Estimated gross M&O tax benefit (15 years) | \$12,479,451 |
| * Applicant is requesting district to waive requirement to create minimum number of qualifying jobs pursuant to Tax Code, 313.025 (f-1). | |

Table 2 is the estimated statewide economic impact of Midway Solar, LLC (modeled).

| | | Employment | | Personal Income | | | | | | |
|------|--------|------------|--------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | Indirect + | | | | | | | | |
| Year | Direct | Induced | Total | Direct | Indirect + Induced | Total | | | | |
| 2017 | 178 | 122 | 300 | \$7,837,872 | \$8,763,688 | \$16,601,560 | | | | |
| 2018 | 180 | 152 | 332.03 | \$7,953,840 | \$12,676,040 | \$20,629,880 | | | | |
| 2019 | 2 | 49 | 51 | \$116,000 | \$5,621,300 | \$5,737,300 | | | | |
| 2020 | 2 | 21 | 23 | \$116,000 | \$3,790,250 | \$3,906,250 | | | | |
| 2021 | 2 | 8 | 10 | \$116,000 | \$2,325,410 | \$2,441,410 | | | | |
| 2022 | 2 | (4) | -2 | \$116,000 | \$1,348,840 | \$1,464,840 | | | | |
| 2023 | 2 | (4) | -2 | \$116,000 | \$738,490 | \$854,490 | | | | |
| 2024 | 2 | (10) | -8 | \$116,000 | \$250,210 | \$366,210 | | | | |
| 2025 | 2 | (4) | -2, | \$116,000 | \$6,070 | \$122,070 | | | | |
| 2026 | 2 | (8) | -6 | \$116,000 | -\$604,280 | -\$488,280 | | | | |
| 2027 | 2 | (10) | -8 | \$116,000 | -\$604,280 | -\$488,280 | | | | |
| 2028 | 2 | (4) | -2 | \$116,000 | -\$604,280 | -\$488,280 | | | | |
| 2029 | 2 | (10) | -8 | \$116,000 | -\$604,280 | -\$488,280 | | | | |
| 2030 | 2 | (12) | -10 | \$116,000 | -\$848,420 | -\$732,420 | | | | |
| 2031 | 2 | (12) | -10 | \$116,000 | -\$1,336,700 | -\$1,220,700 | | | | |
| 2032 | 2 | (12) | -10 | \$116,000 | -\$1,580,840 | -\$1,464,840 | | | | |
| 2033 | 2 | (12) | -10 | \$116,000 | -\$1,092,560 | -\$976,560 | | | | |

Source: CPA REMI, Midway Solar, LLC

Table 3 examines the estimated direct impact on ad valorem taxes to the region if all taxes are assessed.

| Table | 3 Estimated Di | rect Ad Valorei | n Taxes w | ithout property | tax incentives | | | | | | |
|-------|----------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|------------|--------------|------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | Midland | | |
| | | | | | | | | | College | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Williams | | -74 |
| | | | | | | | | | Regional | Middle Pecos | i |
| } | | | | | | | | Iraan General | Technical | Groundwater | |
| | Estimated | Estimated | | Iraan- | Iraan-Sheffield | Iraan-Sheffield | | Hospital | Training | Conservation | |
| | Taxable Value | Taxable Value | | Sheffield ISD | ISD M&O Tax | ISD M&O and | Pecos County | District Tax | Center Tax | District Tax | Estimated Total |
| Year | for I&S | for M&O | | I&S Tax Levy | Levy | 1&S Tax Levies | Tax Levy | Levy | Levy | Levy | Property Taxes |
| | | | Tax Rate | 0.0900 | 1.0600 | 14 | 0.6999 | 0.1896 | 0.0255 | 0.0250 | |
| 2019 | \$275,917,000 | \$275,917,000 | | \$248,325 | \$2,924,720 | \$3,173,046 | \$1,931,143 | \$523,139 | \$70,359 | \$68,979 | \$5,766,665 |
| 2020 | \$234,529,450 | \$234,529,450 | | \$211,077 | \$2,486,012 | \$2,697,089 | \$1,641,472 | \$444,668 | \$59,805 | \$58,632 | \$4,901,666 |
| 2021 | \$199,350,033 | \$199,350,033 | | \$179,415 | \$2,113,110 | \$2,292,525 | \$1,395,251 | \$377,968 | \$50,834 | \$49,838 | \$4,166,416 |
| 2022 | \$169,447,528 | \$169,447,528 | | \$152,503 | \$1,796,144 | \$1,948,647 | \$1,185,963 | \$321,273 | \$43,209 | \$42,362 | \$3,541,453 |
| 2023 | \$144,030,398 | \$144,030,398 | | \$129,627 | \$1,526,722 | \$1,656,350 | \$1,008,069 | \$273,082 | \$36,728 | \$36,008 | \$3,010,235 |
| 2024 | \$122,425,839 | \$122,425,839 | | \$110,183 | \$1,297,714 | \$1,407,897 | \$856,858 | \$232,119 | \$31,219 | \$30,606 | \$2,558,700 |
| 2025 | \$104,061,962 | \$104,061,962 | | \$93,656 | \$1,103,057 | \$1,196,713 | \$728,330 | \$197,301 | \$26,536 | \$26,015 | \$2,174,895 |
| 2026 | \$88,452,668 | \$88,452,668 | | \$79,607 | \$937,598 | \$1,017,206 | \$619,080 | \$167,706 | \$22,555 | \$22,113 | \$1,848,661 |
| 2027 | \$75,184,768 | \$75,184,768 | j | \$67,666 | \$796,959 | \$864,625 | \$526,218 | \$142,550 | \$19,172 | \$18,796 | \$1,571,362 |
| 2028 | \$63,907,052 | \$63,907,052 | | \$57,516 | \$677,415 | \$734,931 | \$447,285 | \$121,168 | \$16,296 | \$15,977 | \$1,335,657 |
| 2029 | \$55,183,400 | \$55,183,400 | | \$49,665 | \$584,944 | \$634,609 | \$386,229 | \$104,628 | \$14,072 | \$13,796 | \$1,153,333 |
| 2030 | \$55,183,400 | \$55,183,400 | | \$49,665 | \$584,944 | \$634,609 | \$386,229 | \$104,628 | \$14,072 | \$13,796 | \$1,153,333 |
| 2031 | \$55,183,400 | \$55,183,400 | | \$49,665 | \$584,944 | \$634,509 | \$386,229 | \$104,628 | \$14,072 | \$13,796 | \$1,153,333 |
| 2032 | \$55,183,400 | \$55,183,400 | | \$49,665 | \$584,944 | \$634,609 | \$386,229 | \$104,628 | \$14,072 | \$13,796 | \$1,153,333 |
| 2033 | \$55,183,400 | \$55,183,400 | | \$49,665 | \$584,944 | \$634,609 | \$386,229 | \$104,628 | \$14,072 | \$13,796 | \$1,153,333 |
| | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | Total | \$1,577,901 | \$18,584,171 | \$20,162,073 | \$12,270,813 | \$3,324,112 | \$447,072 | \$438,306 | \$36,642,375 |

Source: CPA, Midway Solar, LLC ¹Tax Rate per \$100 Valuation

Table 4 examines the estimated direct impact on ad valorem taxes to the school district and Pecos County, with all property tax incentives sought being granted using estimated market value from the application. The project has applied for a value limitation under Chapter 313, Tax Code and tax abatement with Pecos County, Iraan General Hospital District, Midland College Williams Regional Technical Training Center, and Middle Pecos Groundwater Conservation District.

The difference noted in the last line is the difference between the totals in Table 3 and Table 4.

| Table 4 Estimated Direct Ad Valorem Taxes with all property tax incentives sought | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|------------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | Midland | | | |
| | | ļ | [| | | | } | | College | | | |
| | i | | | | | | | | Williams | | | |
| | | | 1 | | | | | | Regional | Middle Pecos | [| |
| | | | | | | | | Iraan General | Technical | Groundwater | | |
| | Estimated | Estimated | ļ | Iraan- | Iraan-Sheffield | Iraan-Sheffield | | Hospital | Training | Conservation | | |
| | Taxable Value | Taxable Value | | Sheffield ISD | ISD M&O Tax | ISD M&O and | Pecos County | District Tax | Center Tax | District Tax | Estimated Total | |
| Year | for I&S | for M&O | | I&S Tax Levy | Levy | I&S Tax Levies | Tax Levy | Levy | Levy | Levy | Property Taxes | |
| | | | Tax Rate | 0.0900 | 1.0600 | | 0.6999 | 0.1896 | 0.0255 | 0.0250 | | |
| 2019 | \$275,917,000 | \$30,000,000 | | \$248,325 | \$318,000 | \$566,325 | \$386,229 | \$104,628 | \$14,072 | \$13,796 | \$1,085,049 | |
| 2020 | \$234,529,450 | \$30,000,000 | | \$211,077 | \$318,000 | \$529,077 | \$328,294 | \$88,934 | \$11,961 | \$11,726 | \$969,992 | |
| 2021 | \$199,350,033 | \$30,000,000 | | \$179,415 | \$318,000 | \$497,415 | \$279,050 | \$75,594 | \$10,167 | \$9,968 | \$872,193 | |
| 2022 | \$169,447,528 | \$30,000,000 | | \$152,503 | \$318,000 | \$470,503 | \$237,193 | \$64,255 | \$8,642 | \$8,472 | \$789,064 | |
| 2023 | \$144,030,398 | \$30,000,000 | | \$129,627 | \$318,000 | \$447,627 | \$201,614 | \$54,616 | \$7,346 | \$7,202 | \$718,405 | |
| 2024 | \$122,425,839 | \$30,000,000 | | \$110,183 | \$318,000 | \$428,183 | \$171,372 | \$46,424 | \$6,244 | \$6,121 | \$658,344 | |
| 2025 | \$104,061,962 | \$30,000,000 | | \$93,656 | \$318,000 | \$411,656 | \$145,666 | \$39,460 | \$5,307 | \$5,203 | \$607,292 | |
| 2026 | \$88,452,668 | \$30,000,000 | | \$79,607 | \$318,000 | \$397,607 | \$123,816 | \$33,541 | \$ 4,511 | \$4,423 | \$563,898 | |
| 2027 | \$75,184,768 | \$30,000,000 | | \$67,666 | \$318,000 | \$385,666 | \$105,244 | \$28,510 | \$3,834 | \$3,759 | \$527,014 | |
| 2028 | \$63,907,052 | \$30,000,000 | | \$57,516 | \$318,000 | \$375,516 | \$89,457 | \$24,234 | \$3,259 | \$3,195 | \$495,662 | |
| 2029 | \$55,183,400 | \$55,183,400 | | \$49,665 | \$ 584,944 | \$634,609 | \$386,229 | \$20,926 | \$2,814 | \$2,759 | \$1,047,337 | |
| 2030 | \$55,183,400 | \$55,183,400 | | \$49,665 | \$584,944 | \$634,609 | \$386,229 | \$104,628 | \$14,072 | \$2,759 | \$1,142,296 | |
| 2031 | \$55,183,400 | \$55,183,400 | | \$49,665 | \$584,944 | \$634,609 | \$386,229 | \$104,628 | \$14,072 | \$13,796 | \$1,153,333 | |
| 2032 | \$55,183,400 | \$55,183,400 | | \$49,665 | \$584,944 | \$634,609 | \$386,229 | \$104,628 | \$14,072 | \$13,796 | \$1,153,333 | |
| 2033 | \$55,183,400 | \$55,183,400 | | \$49,665 | \$584,944 | \$634,609 | \$386,229 | \$104,628 | \$14,072 | \$13,796 | \$1,153,333 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | • | |
| | | | Total | \$1,577,901 | \$6,104,720 | \$7,682,622 | \$3,999,077 | \$999,631 | \$134,444 | \$120,771 | \$12,936,545 | |
| | | | = | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Diff | \$0 | \$12,479,451 | \$12,479,451 | \$8,271,736 | \$2,324,481 | \$312,628 | \$317,535 | \$23,705,830 | |
| Assum | es School Value L | imitation and Ta | ax Abateme | nts with the Coun | ty. | | | | | | | |

Source: CPA, Midway Solar, LLC ¹Tax Rate per \$100 Valuation

Disclaimer: This examination is based on information from the application submitted to the school district and forwarded to the comptroller. It is intended to meet the statutory requirement of Chapter 313 of the Tax Code and is not intended for any other purpose.

Attachment B - Tax Revenue before 25th Anniversary of Limitation Start

This represents the Comptroller's determination that Midway Solar, LLC (project) is reasonably likely to generate, before the 25th anniversary of the beginning of the limitation period, tax revenue in an amount sufficient to offset the school district maintenance and operations ad valorem tax revenue lost as a result of the agreement. This evaluation is based on an analysis of the estimated M&O portion of the school district property tax levy and direct, indirect and induced tax effects from project employment directly related to this project, using estimated taxable values provided in the application.

| | Tax Year | Estimated ISD M&O Tax Levy Generated (Annual) | Estimated ISD M&O Tax Levy Generated (Cumulative) | Estimated ISD M&O Tax Levy Loss as Result of Agreement (Annual) | Estimated ISD M&0 Tax Levy Loss as Result of Agreement (Cumulative) |
|----------------------|----------|---|---|--|--|
| Limitation | 2016 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Pre-Years | 2017 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| | 2018 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| | 2019 | \$318,000 | \$318,000 | \$2,606,720 | \$2,606,720 |
| Limitation Period | 2020 | \$318,000 | \$636,000 | \$2,168,012 | \$4,774,732 |
| | 2021 | \$318,000 | \$954,000 | \$1,795,110 | \$6,569,843 |
| | 2022 | \$318,000 | \$1,272,000 | \$1,478,144 | \$8,047,987 |
| | 2023 | \$318,000 | \$1,590,000 | \$1,208,722 | \$9,256,709 |
| (10 Years) | 2024 | \$318,000 | \$1,908,000 | \$979,714 | \$10,236,423 |
| (10 Tears) | 2025 | \$318,000 | \$2,226,000 | \$785,057 | \$11,021,479 |
| | 2026 | \$318,000 | \$2,544,000 | \$619,598 | \$11,641,078 |
| | 2027 | \$318,000 | \$2,862,000 | \$478,959 | \$12,120,036 |
| | 2028 | \$318,000 | \$3,180,000 | \$359,415 | \$12,479,451 |
| | 2029 | \$584,944 | \$3,764,944 | \$0 | \$12,479,451 |
| Maintain Viable | 2030 | \$584,944 | \$4,349,888 | \$0 | \$12,479,451 |
| Presence | 2031 | \$584,944 | \$4,934,832 | \$0 | \$12,479,451 |
| (5 Years) | 2032 | \$584,944 | \$5,519,776 | \$0 | \$12,479,451 |
| | 2033 | \$584,944 | \$6,104,720 | \$0 | \$12,479,451 |
| | 2034 | \$584,944 | \$6,689,664 | \$0 | \$12,479,451 |
| | 2035 | \$584,944 | \$7,274,608 | \$0 | \$12,479,451 |
| , | 2036 | \$584,944 | \$7,859,552 | \$0 | \$12,479,451 |
| Additional Years | 2037 | \$584,944 | \$8,444,496 | \$0 | \$12,479,451 |
| as Required by | 2038 | \$584,944 | \$9,029,440 | \$0 | \$12,479,451 |
| 313.026(c)(1) | 2039 | \$584,944 | \$9,614,384 | \$0 | \$12,479,451 |
| (10 Years) | 2040 | \$584,944 | \$10,199,328 | \$0 | \$12,479,451 |
| (10 Years) | 2041 | \$584,944 | \$10,784,273 | \$0 | \$12,479,451 |
| ļ | 2042 | \$584,944 | \$11,369,217 | \$0 | \$12,479,451 |
| | 2043 | \$584,944 | \$11,954,161 | \$0 | \$12,479,451 |
| | | | | | |

| Analysis Summary | |
|---|----|
| Is the project reasonably likely to generate tax revenue in an amount sufficient to offset the M&O levy loss as a result of the limitation agreement? | No |

NOTE: The analysis above only takes into account this project's estimated impact on the M&O portion of the school district property tax levy directly related to this project.

Source: CPA, Midway Solar, LLC

| | | Employment | | | Personal Income | | Revenue & Expenditure | | | |
|--------------|---------------|------------|-------|-------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------|--|
| | | Indirect + |] | 1 | | | | | | |
| Ye <u>ar</u> | Direct | Induced | Total | | Indirect + Induced | Total | Revenue | Expenditure | Net Tax Effe | |
| 2017 | 178 | 122 | 300 | \$7,837,872 | | \$16,601,560 | 907900 | -549320 | | |
| 2018 | \rightarrow | 152 | | \$7,953,840 | \$12,676,040 | \$20,629,880 | 1159670 | -373840 | \$1,533,51 | |
| 2019 | $\overline{}$ | 49 | 51 | | | \$5,737,300 | 312810 | 366210 | -\$53,40 | |
| 2020 | 2 | 21 | 23 | \$116,000 | \$3,790,250 | \$3,906,250 | 183110 | 389100 | | |
| 2021 | 2 | 8 | 10 | | \$2,325,410 | \$2,441,410 | 99180 | 350950 | -\$251,77 | |
| 2022 | 2 | (4) | -2 | | \$1,348,840 | \$1,464,840 | 22890 | 305180 | -\$282,29 | |
| 2023 | 2 | (4) | 2 | \$116,000 | \$738,490 | \$854,490 | -15260 | 236510 | -\$251,77 | |
| 2024 | 2 | (10) | -8 | | \$250,210 | \$366,210 | -22890 | 198360 | -\$221,25 | |
| 2025 | 2 | (4) | 2 | | \$6,070 | \$122,070 | -61040 | 137330 | -\$198,37 | |
| 2026 | 2 | (8) | -6 | | -\$604,280 | -\$488,280 | -76290 | 76290 | -\$152,58 | |
| 2027 | 2 | (10) | -8 | | -\$604,280 | -\$488,280 | -99180 | 7630 | | |
| 2028 | 2 | (4) | -2 | \$116,000 | -\$604,280 | -\$488,280 | -106810 | -30520 | -\$76,29 | |
| 2029 | 2 | (10) | -8 | \$116,000 | -\$604,280 | -\$488,280 | -137330 | -61040 | | |
| 2030 | 2 | (12) | -10 | \$116,000 | -\$848,420 | -\$732,420 | -167850 | -114440 | -\$53,41 | |
| 2031 | 2 | (12) | -10 | \$116,000 | -\$1,336,700 | -\$1,220,700 | -198360 | -175480 | -\$22,88 | |
| 2032 | 2 | (12) | -10 | \$116,000 | -\$1,580,840 | -\$1,464,840 | -205990 | -198360 | -\$7,63 | |
| 2033 | 2 | (12) | -10 | \$116,000 | -\$1,092,560 | -\$976,560 | -221250 | -274660 | \$53,41 | |
| 2034 | 2 | (12) | -10 | \$116,000 | -\$1,336,700 | -\$1,220,700 | -251770 | -274660 | \$22,89 | |
| 2035 | 2 | (12) | -10 | \$116,000 | -\$1,824,980 | -\$1,708,980 | -297550 | -328060 | \$30,51 | |
| 2036 | 2 | (14) | -12 | \$116,000 | -\$2,069,130 | -\$1,953,130 | -305180 | -389100 | \$83,92 | |
| 2037 | 2 | (16) | 14 | \$116,000 | -\$2,557,410 | -\$2,441,410 | -373840 | -457760 | \$83,92 | |
| 2038 | 2 | (20) | -18 | \$116,000 | -\$3,533,970 | -\$3,417,970 | -396730 | -480650 | \$83,92 | |
| 2039 | 2 | (20) | 18 | | -\$2,801,550 | -\$2,685,550 | -396730 | -549320 | \$152,59 | |
| 2040 | 2 | (18) | -16 | \$116,000 | -\$4,266,390 | -\$4,150,390 | -457760 | -640870 | \$183,11 | |
| 2041 | 2 | (20) | -18 | | -\$4,022,250 | -\$3,906,250 | -488280 | -701900 | \$213,62 | |
| 2042 | 2 | (22) | -20 | \$116,000 | -\$4,266,390 | -\$4,150,390 | -549320 | -717160 | \$167,84 | |
| 2043 | 2 | (27) | -25 | \$116,000 | -\$5,487,090 | -\$5,371,090 | -564580 | -732420 | \$167,84 | |
| 2044 | 2 | (27) | -25 | \$116,000 | -\$5,487,090 | -\$5,371,090 | -579830 | -747680 | \$167,85 | |
| | | | | | | Total | -\$3,288,260 | -\$5,729,680 | \$2,441,420 | |
| | | | | | | | \$14,395,581 | is greater than | \$12,479,451 | |

Is the project reasonably likely to generate tax revenue in an amount sufficient to offset the M&O levy loss as a result of the limitation agreement?

Yes

Attachment C - Limitation as a Determining Factor

Tax Code 313.026 states that the Comptroller may not issue a certificate for a limitation on appraised value under this chapter for property described in an application unless the comptroller determines that "the limitation on appraised value is a determining factor in the applicant's decision to invest capital and construct the project in this state." This represents the basis for the Comptroller's determination.

Methodology

Texas Administrative Code 9.1055(d) states the Comptroller shall review any information available to the Comptroller including:

- the application, including the responses to the questions in Section 8 (Limitation as a Determining Factor);
- public documents or statements by the applicant concerning business operations or site location issues or in which the applicant is a subject;
- statements by officials of the applicant, public documents or statements by governmental or industry officials concerning business operations or site location issues;
- existing investment and operations at or near the site or in the state that may impact the proposed project;
- announced real estate transactions, utility records, permit requests, industry publications or other sources that may provide information helpful in making the determination; and
- market information, raw materials or other production inputs, availability, existing facility locations, committed incentives, infrastructure issues, utility issues, location of buyers, nature of market, supply chains, other known sites under consideration.

Determination

The Comptroller has determined that the limitation on appraised value is a determining factor in the Midway Solar LLC's decision to invest capital and construct the project in this state. This is based on information available, including information provided by the applicant. Specifically, the comptroller notes the following:

- Per Midway Solar LLC in Tab 5 of their Application for a Limitation on Appraised Value:
 - A. "The applicant's parent company for this project is an national solar developer with the ability to locate projects of this type in other countries and states in the US with strong solar characteristics. The applicant is actively developing and constructing other projects throughout the US and internationally. Projects in active development which are competing with Midway Solar for capital and corporate commitment to construct are located in: AZ, NV, UT, WY, and FL; with other markets under consideration as well."
 - B. "The applicant requires this appraised value limitation in order to move forward with constructing this project in Texas. Specifically, without the available tax incentives, the economics of the project become unappealing to investors and the likelihood of constructing the project in Texas becomes unlikely."
 - C. "Property taxes can be the highest operating expense for a solar generation facility as solar plants do not have any associated fuel costs for the production of electricity, and with Texas wholesale electricity prices already below the national average in Texas, it is necessary to limit the property tax liabilities for a solar project in order to be able to offer electricity at prices that are marketable to Texas customers at competitive rates, including power sales under a bi-lateral contract. Markets such as California that have state wide available subsidies for renewable energy projects, and which have higher average contracted power rates, offer an attractive incentive for developers to build projects in those markets over Texas."
 - D. "The property tax liabilities of a project without tax incentives in Texas lowers the return to investors and financiers to an unacceptable level at today's contracted power rates under a power purchase agreement. As such, the applicant is not able to finance and build its project in Texas even with a signed power purchase agreement because of the low price in the power purchase agreement. Without the tax incentive, the applicant would be forced to abandon the

- project and spend its development capital and prospective investment funds in other states where the rate of return is higher on a project basis."
- E. "This is true even if the entity is able to contract with an off-taker under a power purchase agreement because the low rate contracted for is not financeable without the tax incentives. More specifically, a signed power purchase agreement in the Texas market is at a much lower rate than other states because of competitively low electricity prices. Other states have high electricity prices where a developer can obtain a PPA with a much higher contracted rate, combined with state subsidies, the other states offer a much higher rate of return for the project financiers. Without the tax incentives in Texas, a project with a power purchase agreement becomes unfinanciable."
- According to www.transmissionhub.com_news article dated October 4, 2016, "Electric Transmission Texas LLC filed with the Public Utility Commission of Texas on Oct. 4 a Standard Generation Interconnection Agreement with Midway Solar LLC for a 182-MW (net at the Point of Interconnection) project in Pecos County. Midway Solar's Waymark Substation, needed to support this project, will be located in Pecos County, about 0.5 miles east of the intersection of Ranch Road 1901 and Co-op Road. The substation will interconnect with a 345-kV transmission line that runs for about five miles out of ETT's Bakersfield 345-kV Station. The project will be made up of 65 inverters at 2.8 MW (ac) each, fed by the output from Hanwha Q Cells photovoltaic panels."
- According to The Fort Stockton Pioneer article dated October 13, 2016, states "the Pecos County Commissioners Court met in regular session on Tuesday, Oct. 11, 2016, and immediately moved into the public hearing on designating the RZ Midway Solar Reinvestment Zone, under the provisions of the Texas Tax Code 312.401."

- a) Section 8 of the Application for a Limitation on Appraised Value
- b) Attachments provided in Tab 5 of the Application for a Limitation on Appraised Value
- c) Additional information provided by the Applicant or located by the Comptroller

Disclaimer: This examination is based on information from the application submitted to the school district and forwarded to the comptroller. It is intended to meet the statutory requirement of Chapter 313 of the Tax Code and is not intended for any other purpose.

Section 8 of the Application for a Limitation on Appraised Value



Application for Appraised Value Limitation on Qualified Property

| | SECTI | ON 6: Eligibility Under Tax Code Chapter 313.024 | | Sin | | |
|-----|-------------------------------|--|---------|---------|----------|-----|
| 1 | . Are y | ou an entity subject to the tax under Tax Code, Chapter 171? | V | Yes | - | N |
| 2 | . The p | roperty will be used for one of the following activities: | | 1 | | 1 |
| | (1) | | | Yes | ~ | N |
| | (2) | research and development | | Yes | ~ | N |
| | (3) | a clean coal project, as defined by Section 5.001, Water Code | | Yes | V | No |
| | (4) | an advanced clean energy project, as defined by Section 382.003, Health and Safety Code | | Yes | V | No |
| | (5) | renewable energy electric generation | V | Yes | | No |
| | (6) | electric power generation using integrated gasification combined cycle technology | | Yes | V | No |
| | (7) | nuclear electric power generation | | Yes | V | No |
| | (8) | a computer center that is used as an integral part or as a necessary auxiliary part for the activity conducted by applicant in one or more activities described by Subdivisions (1) through (7) | | 1 | | |
| | (9) | a Texas Priority Project, as defined by 313.024(e)(7) and TAC 9.1051 | | Yes | | No |
| 3. | | u requesting that any of the land be classified as qualified investment? | | Yes | ~ | No |
| 4. | | | | Yes | ~ | No |
| 5. | | y of the proposed qualified investment be leased under a capitalized lease? | - | Yes | ~ | No |
| 6. | | y of the proposed qualified investment be leased under an operating lease? | | Yes | ~ | No |
| | | Including property that is owned by a person other than the applicant? | | Yes | V | No |
| 7. | your qu | y property be pooled or proposed to be pooled with property owned by the applicant in determining the amount of allified investment? | | Van | | Ala |
| 5 | COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER. | N 7: Project Description | | Yes | V | No |
| | In Tab | 4, attach a detailed description of the scope of the proposed project, including, at a minimum, the type and planned use of roperty, the nature of the business, a timeline for property construction or installation, and any other relevant information. | real a | ınd tan | ıgible p | er- |
| 2. | | the project characteristics that apply to the proposed project: | | | | |
| | | and has no existing improvements Land has existing improvements (complete Se | etion | 13) | | |
| | E | cpansion of existing operation on the land (complete Section 13) Relocation within Texas | .000011 | 10) | | |
| S | DESCRIPTION OF REAL PROPERTY. | 1 8: Limitation as Determining Factor | | | 17.0 | |
| 1. | | e applicant currently own the land on which the proposed project will occur? | | | | |
| 2. | Has the | applicant entered into any agreements, contracts or letters of intent related to the proposed project? | | Yes | | No |
| 3. | Does th | e applicant have current business activities at the location where the proposed project will occur? | V | Yes | Ц | No |
| | | applicant made public statements in SEC filings or other documents regarding its intentions regarding the | Ш | Yes | ~ | No |
| | propose | d project location? | | Yes | V | No |
| 5. | Has the | applicant received any local or state permits for activities on the proposed project site? | | Yes | | No |
| | | applicant received commitments for state or local incentives for activities at the proposed project site? | | Yes | | No |
| | | plicant evaluating other locations not in Texas for the proposed project? | 37 | Yes | | Vo |
| 8. | Has the | applicant provided capital investment or return on investment information for the proposed project in comparison or afternative investment opportunities? | | | | |
| 9. | Has the | applicant provided information related to the applicant's inputs, transportation and markets for the proposed project? | | Yes | | No |
| 10. | Are you | submitting information to assist in the datermination as to whether the limited | | /es | V | 4o |
| | | and applicable decision to invest capital and construct the project in texas? | | res | | lo. |
| Cha | pter 313 | .026(e) states "the applicant may submit information to the Comptroller that would provide a basis for an affirmat sction (c)(2)." If you answered "yes" to any of the questions in Section 8, attach supporting information in Tab 5. | ive de | termin | ation | |

Attachments provided in Tab 5 of the Application for a Limitation on Appraised Value

TAB 5

Documentation to assist in determining if limitation is a determining factor

The applicant's parent company for this project is an national solar developer with the ability to locate projects of this type in other countries and states in the US with strong solar characteristics. The applicant is actively developing and constructing other projects throughout the US and internationally. Projects in active development which are competing with Midway Solar for capital and corporate commitment to construct are located in: AZ, NV, UT, WY, and FL; with other markets under consideration as well.

The applicant requires this appraised value limitation in order to move forward with constructing this project in Texas. Specifically, without the available tax incentives, the economics of the project become unappealing to investors and the likelihood of constructing the project in Texas becomes unlikely,

Property taxes can be the highest operating expense for a solar generation facility as solar plants do not have any associated fuel costs for the production of electricity, and with Texas wholesale electricity prices already below the national average in Texas, it is necessary to limit the property tax liabilities for a solar project in order to be able to offer electricity at prices that are marketable to Texas customers at competitive rates, including power sales under a bi-lateral contract. Markets such as California that have state wide available subsidies for renewable energy projects, and which have higher average contracted power rates, offer an attractive incentive for developers to build projects in those markets over Texas.

The property tax liabilities of a project without tax incentives in Texas lowers the return to investors and financiers to an unacceptable level at today's contracted power rates under a power purchase agreement. As such, the applicant is not able to finance and build its project in Texas even with a signed power purchase agreement because of the low price in the power purchase agreement. Without the tax incentive, the applicant would be forced to abandon the project and spend its development capital and prospective investment funds in other states where the rate of return is higher on a project basis.

This is true even if the entity is able to contract with an off-taker under a power purchase agreement because the low rate contracted for is not financeable without the tax incentives. More specifically, a signed power purchase agreement in the Texas market is at a much lower rate than other states because of competitively low electricity prices. Other states have high electricity prices where a developer can obtain a PPA with a much higher contracted rate, combined with state subsidies, the other states offer a much higher rate of return for the project financiers. Without the tax incentives in Texas, a project with a power purchase agreement becomes unfinanciable.

Additional information provided by the Applicant or located by the Comptroller

Transmission Hub.

PROJECTS HOME

POLICY

PLANNING

OPERATIONS

PROJECT DATA

WEBCASTS

Home » Projects » Electric Transmission Texas files interconnect for 182-MW Midway Solar project











RELATED ARTICLES

AEP Texas North, ETT propose 138-kV ine in McCulloch, Menard counties in **Fexas**

Fox named as new president of Electric Transmission Texas

RELATED DOCUMENTS

ETT Midway Solar SGIA, Oct 4 2016



Electric Transmission Texas files interconnect for 182-MW Midway Solar project

The project will be made up of 65 inverters at 2.8 MW (ac) each

10/04/2016

By Barry Cassell

Chief Analyst



Generation Interconnection Agreement with Midway Solar Electric Transmission Texas LLC filed with the Public LLC for a 182-MW (net at the Point of Interconnection) Utility Commission of Texas on Oct. 4 a Standard project in Pecos County.

The SGIA has no firm commercial operation target date, with that coming a certain time after the achievement of other project milestones. Midway Solar's Waymark Substation, needed to support this project, will be located in Pecos County, about 0.5 miles east of the intersection of Ranch Road 1901 and Co-op

DEMO IT TODAY

Road. The substation will interconnect with a 345-kV transmission line that runs for about five miles out of ETT's Bakersfield 345-kV Station.

The project will be made up of 65 inverters at 2.8 MW (ac) each, fed by the output from Hanwha Q Cells photovoltaic panels.

Overcasi 41

Marketplace Local Business Seatch

CLASSIFIEDS CALENDAR

NEWS | SPORTS | LIFESTYLES | OPINION | OBITUARIES | WEATHER

BUSINESS DIRECTORY

Login or Signup below. Welcome to the site!

dnudis | Ligaria

Home ▶ Community

County proclaims Oct. 10 'Blaine McCallister Day,' and passes 190 megawatt solar farm zoning

P.O. Box 852. Fort Stockton, Texas • 432.290.0514

maternity portraits, family sporting events, road races, portraits, special events. weddings, guinceneras,

first communions, baptisms, pageants and more collette barraga नारखा

Posted: Thursday, October 13, 2016 9:25 am

By Lisa C Hannon, managing editor | 10 comments

part of the county at approximately RR 1901 and Co-op Road near MCamey. The 190 The Pecos County Commissioners Court met in regular session on Tuesday, Oct. 11, megawatt project's life, according to Economic Development Commission CEO Doug Solar Reinvestment Zone, under the provisions of the Texas Tax Code 312.401. The requested zone of approximately 1,400 acres, would be established in the northeast May, would be 25-30 years. The applicant company is Hanwha Q CELLS USA Corp., 2016, and immediately moved into the public hearing on designating the RZ Midway out of Irvine, CA. The build-out is expected to begin in Fall 2017 and complete and come online one year later in Fall 2018.

construction workers. The designated zone will maintain the status as a reinvestment "It's outside of the oil and gas market, and we're always trying to diversify," said May. The overview of the company requesting the reinvestment zone is available in the County Judge's office. At its peak, the project would employ as many as 300 zone for five years, through Oct. 11, 2021.

Marketplace



Businesses Find Local

Search

60

Browse By Category Popular Searches

Sign up for email alerts for news get connected Click here



